Jets, tidal disruption and lenses

Plan and reviews

<u>Plan</u>

- 1. Jets: AGNs and close binary systems
- 2. Tidal distruption of stars by SMBHs
- 3. Spectral lines and lensing

<u>Reviews</u>

- astro-ph/0611521 High-Energy Aspects of Astrophysical Jets
- astro-ph/0306429 Extreme blazars
- astro-ph/0312545 AGN Unification: An Update
- astro-ph/0212065 Fluorescent iron lines as a probe of astrophysical black hole systems
- arXiv: 1104.0006 AGN jets
- astro-ph/0406319 Astrophysical Jets and Outflows
- arXiv: 2003.06322 Relativistic Jets of Blazars
- arXiv: 1707.07134 AGNs of different types
- arXiv: 2101.08839 **Jets**
- arXiv: 2104.14580 TDEs

Jets in AGNs and close binaries



AGN: $M_{BH} = 10^8 \cdot 10^9 M_0$ $L < L_{Edd} \sim 10^{42} \cdot 10^{47} \text{ erg/s}$ < few Mpc $\Gamma \sim 5 \cdot 50$ $\Delta t \sim \text{hours-years}$

CBS: M_{BH}~10 M₀ L<~L_{Edd}~10³⁷-10⁴⁰ erg/s ~ pc Γ~1-10 Δt~ days



see astro-ph/0611521

All jets in one plot



1104.0006

Close-by and far-away jets

1% of SMBH are active. 10% out of them launch relativistic jets. Jets are not magnetically dominated.



GB1508+5714 z=4.30

3C273

See a review in 1104.0006

Classification of AGN radio jets



FR Class I source: radio galaxy 3C31



FR Class II source: quasar 3C175

astro-ph/0406319

FR I. Two-sided jets. Jets dominate in the emission. Usually are found in rich clusters.



FR II. One-sided jets. Radio lobes dominate over jets. Mostly isolated galaxies or poor groups.

See a review on radio galaxies in arXiv: 1101.0837

Diversity of radio jets



X-ray and radio properties



1003.0976

Magnetic field in a jet



Observations of M87 tell us that the magnetic field in the jet is mostly parallel to the jet axis, but in the emission regions ("knots") it becomes perpendicular (see astro-ph/0406319).

The same structure is observed in several jets with radio lobes.



New RadioAstron data give some new insights.

Magnetic field in the jet

Due to modern high resolution observations new important results on the magnetic field in jets are obtained.

ALMA 0.01 pc scale



Magnetic fields of at least tens of Gauss (and possibly considerably higher) on scales of the order of light days (0.01 pc) from the black hole.

3C84 jet

Jet followed down to >~100 R_{sh} BH mass ~2 10⁹

Jet is already cylindrical at few 100 R_{sh} Jet from the disc, not from ergosphere?



2.5

2.0

2250

Core

Blobs in jets





It is believed that bright features in AGN jets can be results of the Kelvin-Helmholtz instability. This instability leads to a spiral structure formation in a jet. (see, for example, astro-ph/0103379)

3C 120

However, in the case of 3C 120 the blobs appearence is due to processes in the disc. Dips in X-rays (related to the disc) appear before blobs ejection (Marscher et al. 2002).

Marscher, A.P., et al., NATURE Vol 417 p. 625

Blazars



If a jet is pointing towards us, then we see a *blaza*r.



A review on blazar jets 2003.06322

Blazars at very high energies

Blazars are powerful gamma-ray sources. The most powerful of them have equivalent isotropic luminosity 10⁴⁹ erg/s.

Collimation $\theta^2/2 \sim 10^{-2} - 10^{-3}$. θ – jet opening angle. EGRET detected 66 (+27) sources of this type. More results have been obtained after the launch of GLAST.

Many sources have been detected in the TeV range by ground-based gamma-ray telescopes. All of them, except M87, are BL Lacs at z<0.2 (more precisely, to high-frequency-peaked BL Lac – HBL).

Observations show that often (but not always) after a gamma-ray bursts few weeks or months later a burst happens also in the radio band.



Fermi

1309.4772

Blazar spectra



Microquasars



The correlation between X-ray and synchrotron (i.e. between disc and jet emission) is observed.

16

Microquasars jets in radio



LS 5039/RX J1826.2-1450 – is a galactic massive X-ray binary.

The jet length is ~1000 a.e.

Probably, the source was observed by EGRET as 3EG J1824-1514.

Rem: check https://ui.adsabs.harvard.edu/abs/2012MNRAS.421.1351B/abstract

The role of a donor

An important difference between the microquasars case and AGNs is related to the existence of a donor-star. Especially, if it is a giant, then the star can inject matter and photons into the jet.



see Paredes astro-ph/0412057

Microquasars in gamma-rays: TeV range



F. Aharonian et al.

TeV emission from Cyg X-1





arxiv:0706.1505

See a review on jets in binaries in 1407.3674

Jet models



(the table is from astro-ph/0611521)

Table 1. sources.	Characteristic parameters of relativistic je			
	L_j (erg/s)	Γ	Δt	
GRB AGN	$10^{47} - 10^{50}$ $10^{42} - 10^{47}$ 10^{37} 10^{40}	$10^2 - 10^3$ 5 - 50 1 - 10	millisec - min. hours - years	
GF	$10^{43} - 10^{46}$	1 - 10	seconds	

In all models jets are related to discs. Velocity at the base of a jet is about the parabolic (escape) velocity.

Jet formation by magnetic field



Disk-jet-BH behavior



Large-scale vertical magnetic field accumulates at the center and forms a dynamically important magnetic field that obstructs the accretion flow and leads to a magnetically arrested disk.

Color shows the logarithm of density.

Jet power



 $P_{jet} > 2P_r = 2L/\Gamma^2$

Jet and disc

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{L}_{d}-\text{disc luminosity.} \\ \mathsf{P}_{jet}=\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{B}}+\mathsf{P}_{\mathsf{P}}+\mathsf{P}_{e} \\ \text{In the gray stripes }\mathsf{P}_{jet}\sim\text{Mdot and} \\ \text{at low accretion rates }\mathsf{L}_{d}\sim\text{Mdot}^{2}, \text{ at large - }\mathsf{L}_{d}\sim\text{Mdot.} \end{array}$



Jets are more powerful than discs



Jets also serve as sites for particle acceleration, see 2003.06587

$$P_{\rm rad} = 2 f \frac{L_{\rm jet}^{\rm bol}}{\Gamma^2}$$

Displaced SMBH in M87

Projected displacement of 6.8+/-0.8 pc consistent with the jet axis displaced in the counter-jet direction



1005.2173

Other explanations are possible

Different accretion regimes in AGNs



Anticorrelation for low-luminosity AGNs (LINERS).

Correlation for luminous AGNs.

In the critical point the accretion regime can be changed: from a standard thin accretion disc to RIAF (radiatively inefficient accretion flow).

Γ- photon index

BH mass determination

Accretion disc contribution is visible in opt-UV. It allows to estimate the BH mass. It can be compared with emission lines estimates. Bars correspond to different lines used.



BH mass and jet properties in M87

Table 3. Predicted jet and BH parameters for $M = 6.5 \times 10^9 M_{\odot}$.

$\sigma_{ m M}$	$\Gamma heta_j$	ϕ	a_*	W_j (10 ⁴² erg/s)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(10 61g/5)
10	0.062	3.2	0.093	1.9
20	0.063	3.3	0.144	4.7

Estimates are in correspondence with the EHT data on the BH mass.

If the mass is assumed to be known, then the initial magnetization σ_M can be determined.

Tidal disruption

The Hills limit: 3 10⁸ solar masses. A BH disrupts stars.

After a disruption in $(t_0 - t_D) \sim 1.1 M_8^{1/2} \text{ yr}$ happens a burst with
the temperature $T_{\text{eff}} \approx (L_{\text{Edd}}/4\pi\sigma R_T^2)^{1/4} = 3.7 \times 10^5 M_8^{1/12} \text{ K}$ The maximum accretion rate $\dot{M}_{\text{max}} \sim 0.14 M_8^{1/2} M_{\odot} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ This rate corresponds to the moment $(t_{\text{max}} - t_D) \sim 1.5 (t_0 - t_D)$ Then the rate can be described as $\dot{M}(t) = 0.3 M_8 [(t - t_D)/(t_0 - t_D)]^{-5/3} M_{\odot}$

For a BH with M $< 10^7 M_0$ the luminosity at maximum is:

$$L_{\rm flare} \geq \eta \dot{M}_{\rm Edd} c^2 > 1.3 \times 10^{45} M_7 \ {\rm ergs} \ {\rm s}^{-1}$$

A burst in NGC 5905



The decay was well described by the relation:

$$(t - t_D)^{-5/3}$$

Two other bursts discovered by ROSAT and observed by HST and Chandra:

RX J1624.9+7554 RX J1242.6-1119A

astro-ph/0402497, see a review on X-ray properties of TDEs in 2103.15442

General picture



Rate of TDE







The region of expected thermal emission from a circularized debris disk formed from the tidal disruption of a solar-type star by a $10^{6}-10^{8}M_{sun}$ black hole is shown in orange.

High-energy transient Swift J164449.3+573451



1104.3257

1104.3356

Also known as GRB 110328A
A unique event



The transient does not looks similar to GRBs, SN or any other type of event

A tidal disruption event



Light curve fits the prediction for a tidal event. The spectrum is blazar-like.

See a review on jets from TDE in 1911.01442.

Name	year	Z	X-rays?	
Swift J164449.3+573451 Swift J2058.4+0516 Swift J1112 2-8238	$\begin{array}{c} 2011/2011\\ 2011/2012\\ 2011/2015 \end{array}$	$0.3543 \\ 1.1853 \\ 0.89$	non-thermal non-thermal non-thermal	On-axis jet On-axis jet On-axis jet
Arp 299-B AT1	2005/2018	0.0103	-	Off-axis jet

Optical observations of tidal disruptions

GALEX data



In optics one can observe events far from the horizon. Surveys like Pan-STARRS can discover 20-30 events per year.

arxiv:0904.1596

Two more examples of optical flares due to tidal disruption events

SDSS data

Atypical flares

Rate estimates: ~10⁻⁵ per year per galaxy or slightly more

In 2020 ~33 TDEs observed in optics/UV are known, see 2008.05461.



1009.1627

Dashed lines: -5/3 Solid lines: -5/9



Further observations are necessary to certify that this is indeed a TDE.

1910.11912, see a review on radio observations of TDEs in 2006.01159

Neutrino from a TDE?

TDE: AT2019dsg IceCube event: 191001A



Theoretical models



See a review on modeling of TDEs in 2006.03693

Stellar masses



For not too massive BHs the main contribution is due to M-dwarfs (0.3Msolar). For massive BHs contribution from massive and evolved stars grows.

BH mass and stellar mass estimates



The black hole mass depends mostly on the temperature observed at peak luminosity, while the mass of the disrupted star depends mostly on the peak luminosity.



Detailed structure is important

The authors used MESA to model stellar structure before tidal disturbances.



Interaction with a 1 000 000 solar mass BH.

....and again details and MESA

For realistic stellar structure the accretion rate is different from that for polytropic models.



TAMS - terminal-age main sequence

Role of BH spin

For deep encounters rotating BHs accretion rate and mass of the disc can be reduced.



TDE and binary SMBHs

It has been predicted that after a TDE in a system of close SMBH binary

there might be particular drops in the light curve. Such phenomena was observed.

SDSS J120136.02+300305.5 XMM-Newton observations

Masses $\sim 10^7$ and 10^6 solar masses, orbital separation $\sim 10^{-3}$ pc Eccentricity ~ 0.3

TDEs in supermassive BH binaries were recently modeled in 1802.07850.





See a review on binary SMBHs and TDEs in 1909.12849

4933

How binarity influences TDE

- Lidov-Kozai influence on the star
- Dynamical influence on the debris







Mass determination from TDE

12 optically/UV selected TDE host galaxies



Squeezars



The rate of formation is lower than the rate of tidal disruption events, but the observable time is longer.

Graphs are plotted for a solar-type star orbiting the BH in the center of our Galaxy.

astro-ph/0305061



Disc structure from microlensing



Using the data on microlensing at wavelengths 0.4-8 microns it was possible to derive the size of the disc in the quasar HE1104-1805 at different wavelengths.

arXiv:0707.0003 Shawn Poindexter et al. «The Spatial Structure of An Accretion Disk»

Disc size – BH mass



Disc size can be determined from microlensing.

arXiv:0707.0305 Christopher W. Morgan et al. «The Quasar Accretion Disk Size - Black Hole Mass Relation»

More data



IR and optics.

Chromatic microlensing: blue light from the inner regions is more strongly microlensed than red light from farther out

Solid line is the prediction of the thin disk model.

Standard disc properties

$$T_{\rm eff}(r) = \left(\frac{3G^2 M_{\rm BH}^2 m_p f_{\rm Edd}}{2c\sigma_B \sigma_T \eta r^3}\right)^{1/4} g(r_{\rm in}/r)^{1/4} \,.$$

Standard disc model

$$r_{1/2} = 2.44 \left[\frac{45G^2 M_{\rm BH}^2 m_p f_{\rm Edd} \lambda^4}{4\pi^5 h_P c^3 \sigma_T \eta} \right]^{1/3} \sqrt{\cos i}$$
$$= 1.68 \times 10^{16} {\rm cm} \left(\frac{M_{\rm BH}}{10^9 M_{\odot}} \right)^{2/3} \left(\frac{f_{\rm Edd}}{\eta} \right)^{1/3} \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu {\rm m}} \right)^{4/3}$$

1007.1665

 $r_{1/2} \sim \lambda^{4/3}$





Super-Eddington discs

Super-Eddington accretion leads to formation of an optically-thick envelope scattering the radiation formed in the disc. This makes the apparent disc size larger and practically independent of wavelength

Disc reverberation mapping

super-Eddington AGN Mrk 142 (PG1022+519) z= 0.045

Accretion disk RM uses time lags between the continuum at different wavelengths to probe the size and temperature of the accretion disk.

High energy photons from a central corona irradiate the accretion disk, driving variability at longer wavelengths. The hotter, inner disk will respond to variability in the irradiating photons before the cooler, outer disk. This then leads to correlated continuum light curves with longer wavelengths lagging shorter wavelengths.



Discs observed by VLTI



The structure of the disc in Cen A was studied in IR for scales <1 pc.

The data is consistent with a geometrically thin disc with diameter 0.6 pc.

Observations on VLTI.

arXiv:0707.0177 K. Meisenheimer et al. «Resolving the innermost parsec of Centaurus A at mid-infrared wavelengths»

Discs around black holes: a look from aside



Discs observed from infinity. Left: non-rotating BH, Right: rotating.



Disc temperature



http://web.pd.astro.it/calvani/



from gr-qc/0506078

Discs from Interstellar movie





Rotation and ISCO



Different effects

$$r_{+} = \frac{GM}{c^2} + \left[\left(\frac{GM}{c^2} \right)^2 - \left(\frac{J}{Mc} \right)^2 \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

For maximal rotation r_{ISCO}=r₊





- Doppler effect
- Relativistic beaming
- GR light bending
- GR grav. redshift

arXiv: 0907.3602



Fluorescent lines



The Kα iron line observed by ASCA (1994 г.). Seyfert galaxy MCG-6-30-15

Dashed line: the model with non-rotating BH, disc inclination 30 degrees.

astro-ph/0212065

Lines and rotation of BHs



XMM-Newton data (astro-ph/0206095)

The fact that the line extends to the red side below 4 keV is interpreted as the sign of rapid rotation (the disc extends inside 3R_g).

see astro-ph/0212065

Suzaku spin measurements program

NGC 3783 z = 0.00973

A very complicated model. a > 0.93 (90% confidence)

AGN	a	A little
$MCG-6-30-15^{a}$	≥ 0.98	and a
Fairall 9 ^b	$0.65_{-0.05}^{+0.05}$	
SWIFT J2127.4+5654 c	$0.6^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	
$1H0707-495^{d}$	≥ 0.98	
Mrk 79 <u></u>	$0.7^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	
Mrk 335 <u></u>	$0.70_{-0.01}^{+0.12}$	
NGC 7469^{f}	$0.69^{+0.09}_{-0.09}$	
NGC 3783^{g}	≥ 0.98	

A little bit more data in 1307.3246 and a big review in 1309.6334
Relativistic reflection fitting of spectra

16

14

AGN	a	log M	$L_{\rm bol}/L_{\rm Edd}$	Host
MCG-6-30-15 ^a	$\ge +0.98$	$6.65\substack{+0.17\\-0.17}$	$0.40\substack{+0.13\\-0.13}$	E/S0
Fairall 9 ^b	$+0.52^{+0.19}_{-0.15}$	$8.41_{-0.11}^{+0.11}$	$0.05\substack{+0.01\\-0.01}$	Sc
SWIFT J2127.4+5654 c	$+0.6^{+0.2}_{-0.2}$	$7.18^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$	$0.18\substack{+0.03\\-0.03}$	
$1 \text{ H0707}-495^d$	$\geq +0.98$	$6.70\substack{+0.40\\-0.40}$	$\sim 1.0_{-0.6}$	
Mrk 79 ^e	$+0.7^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$7.72_{-0.14}^{+0.14}$	$0.05\substack{+0.01\\-0.01}$	\mathbf{SBb}
Mrk 335 ^f	$+0.70^{+0.12}_{-0.01}$	$7.15_{-0.13}^{+0.13}$	$0.25^{+0.07}_{-0.07}$	S0a
NGC 3783 ^g	$\geq +0.98$	$7.47^{+0.08}_{-0.08}$	$0.06\substack{+0.01\\-0.01}$	SB(r)ab
Ark 120 ^h	$+0.94^{+0.1}_{-0.1}$	$8.18^{+0.05}_{-0.05}$	$0.04\substack{+0.01\\-0.01}$	Sb/pec
$3C \ 120^{i}$	≥ 0.95	$7.74_{-0.22}^{+0.20}$	$0.31^{+0.20}_{-0.19}$	S0
$1 \text{ H0419}{-577^{j}}$	$\ge +0.88$	$8.18^{+0.12}_{-0.12}$	$1.27^{+0.42}_{-0.42}$	
Ark 564^{j}	$+0.96\substack{+0.01\\-0.06}$	≤ 6.90	≥ 0.11	SB
Mrk 110 ^j	$\ge +0.99$	$7.40^{+0.09}_{-0.09}$	$0.16\substack{+0.04\\-0.04}$	
SWIFT J0501.9-3239 ^j	$\geq +0.96$			SB0/a(s) pec
Ton $S180^{j}$	$+0.91\substack{+0.02\\-0.09}$	$7.30\substack{+0.60\\-0.40}$	$2.15^{+3.21}_{-1.61}$	
RBS 1124^{j}	$\geq +0.98$	8.26	0.15	
Mrk 359 ^j	$+0.66\substack{+0.30\\-0.54}$	6.04	0.25	pec
Mrk 841 ^j	$\geq +0.52$	7.90	0.44	Е
IRAS 13224-3809 ^j	$\geq +0.995$	7.00	0.71	
Mrk 1018 ^j	$+0.58^{+0.36}_{-0.74}$	8.15	0.01	S0
IRAS 00521-7054 ^l	$\geq +0.84$			
NGC 4051 ^m	$\geq +0.99$	6.28	0.03	SAB(rs)bc
NGC 1365^k	$+0.97^{+0.01}_{-0.04}$	$6.60^{+1.40}_{-0.30}$	$0.06\substack{+0.06\\-0.04}$	SB(s)b





Spins of SMBHs | in AGNs



Object	Mass $(\times 10^6 M_{\odot})$	Spin	Mass/Spin References
Mrk359	~ 1.1	$0.66^{+0.30}_{-0.54}$	Va16+
Ark564	~ 1.1	> 0.9	Va16+/Ji19
Mrk766	$1.8^{+1.6}_{-1.4}$	> 0.92	Be06/Bu18
NGC4051	1.91 ± 0.78	> 0.99	Va16+
NGC1365	~ 2	> 0.97	Va16+/Wa14
1H0707-495	~ 2.3	> 0.94	Va16+/Ka15
MCG-6-30-15	$2.9^{+1.8}_{-1.6}$	$0.91\substack{+0.06 \\ -0.07}$	Va16+/Ma13
NGC5506	~ 5	0.93 ± 0.04	Ni09/Su18
IRAS13224-3809	~ 6.3	> 0.975	Va16+/Ji18
Tons180	~ 8.1	> 0.98	Va16+/Ji19
ESO 362–G18	12.5 ± 4.5	> 0.92	VA16+
Swift J2127.4+5654	~ 15	$0.72^{+0.14}_{-0.20}$	Va16+/Ji19
Mrk335	$17.8^{+4.6}_{-3.7}$	> 0.99	Gr18/Ji19
Mrk110	25.1 ± 6.1	> 0.99	Va16+/Ji19
NGC3783	29.8 ± 5.4	> 0.88	Va16+
1H0323 + 342	34^{+9}_{-6}	> 0.9	Wa16/Gh18
NGC 4151	$45.7^{+5.7}_{-4.7}$	> 0.9	Be06/Ke15
Mrk79	52.4 ± 14.4	> 0.5	Va16+/Ji19
PG1229+204	57 ± 25	$0.93\substack{+0.06\\-0.02}$	Ji19/Ji19
IRAS13197-1627	~ 64	> 0.7	Va10/Wa18
3C120	69^{+31}_{-24}	> 0.95	Gr18/Va16+
Mrk841	~ 79	> 0.52	Va16+
IRAS09149-6206	~ 100	$0.94^{+0.02}_{-0.07}$	Wa20/Wa20
Ark120	150 ± 19	> 0.85	Va16+/Ji19
RBS1124	~ 180	> 0.8	Mi10/Ji19
RXS J1131-1231	~ 200	$0.87^{+0.08}_{-0.15}$	Sl12/Re14c
Fairall 9	255 ± 56	$0.52^{+0.19}_{-0.15}$	Va16+
1H0419-577	~ 340	> 0.98	Va16+/Ji19a
PG0804 + 761	550 ± 60	> 0.97	Ji19/Ji19
Q2237 + 305	~ 1000	$0.74^{+0.06}_{-0.03}$	Ass11/Re14b
PG2112 + 059	~ 1000	> 0.83	Ve06/Sc10
H1821 + 643	4500 ± 1500	> 0.4	Va16+
IRAS 00521–7054	_	> 0.77	-/Wa19
IRAS13349 + 2438		$0.93^{+0.03}_{-0.02}$	-/Pa18
Fairall 51		> 0.75	-/Sv15
Mrk 1501	_	> 0.97	-/Ch19

Gravitational redshift of the S2 star



The inner edge

The place where the fluorescent line is formed is not necessarily the standard ISCO.

Especially for large accretion rates the situation is complicated.





Inclination is important



µ=cos θ µ=1 – face-on

For inclined discs interplay between gravitational redshift and Doppler effect from inner and outer disc result in a profile with which it is difficult to put constraints on the inner boundary.

Measuring spins of stellar-mass BHs

Source	Spin a_*
GRS 1915+105	> 0.98
LMC X–1	$0.92^{+0.05}_{-0.07}$
M33 X-7	0.84 ± 0.05
4U 1543–47	0.80 ± 0.05
GRO J1655–40	0.70 ± 0.05
XTE J1550–564	$0.34_{-0.28}^{+0.20}$
LMC X–3	$< 0.3^{\rm b}$
A0620-00	0.12 ± 0.18

Different methods used 1101.0811

See a review on BH spin (both XRB and AGN) in 1507.06153 and estimates of the spin parameter with a model-depended method, but for hundreds of sources in 1905.11319.

Twisted light



This effect can be used to learn about spin of accreting BHs.

If the source of the gravitational field also rotates, it drags space-time with it. Because of the rotation of the central mass, each photon of a light beam propagating along a null geodesic will experience a well-defined phase variation.



See <u>http://www.physics.gla.ac.uk/Optics/play/photonOAM/</u> and astro-ph/0307430 about orbital angular momentum of photons